

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,518 09/12/2002		David I. Bransby	N1365-004	9267		
32905	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER		
JONDLE & ASSOCIATES P.C.				HALPERN, MARK		
858 HAPPY CANYON ROAD SUITE 230 CASTLE ROCK, CO 80108				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
on bribs hoom, oo		00100		1731		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	•
	•
/	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/089,518	BRANSBY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark Halpern	1731	

	Iviai k Tiaipei ii	1701						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of								
 b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate extension	ension fee have					
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL		en 1 11 1 1						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	oecause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		aducina or cimplifyina	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	tter torm for appear by materially it	saucing or simplifying	the issues to					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 41-48.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-40.</u>								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will n	of be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:		M. Holper Mark Halpern	^					
	,	Primary Éxaminer Art Unit: 1731						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 41-48 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) issued on 5/26/2005, is proper.